

General Application Requirements (FINAL)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700583

Agency Information

(Carefully read the instructions before completing this form)

1. Agency Information

- a. Agency Name El Dorado County Transportation Department
- b. Organizational Unit 06
- c. Address 2850 Fairlane Court
- e. City Placerville State CA Zip 95667
- f. Federal Id Number 94-6000511 DUNS Number 3000000002-08
- g. Agency fiscal year (beginning month and July-01 day)
- h. Agency Type (Please check one)
- ☐ City ☒ County ☐ U.S. Forest Service
- ☐ U.S. Forest Service - Patrol District ☐ U.S. Bureau of Land Management ☐ Other Federal Agency
- ☐ Federally Recognized Native American Tribe ☐ Educational Institution ☐ Nonprofit Organization - 501(c)(3) status only
- ☐ State Agency ☐ District

2. Project Information

- a. Project Name General Application Requirements
- b. Is implementing agency same as Agency (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No
- c. Implementing Agency Name
- d. Amount of Funds Requested Project Cost

Project Request(s) Summary

#	Project Type	Project Title	Grant Request	Match	Total Project Cost
1	G09-03-06-D01	Rubicon Trail Restroom at Winter Camp	60,000	22,000	82,000
2	G09-03-06-G01	Rubicon Trail Ground Operations - Phase 2	803,000	282,000	1,085,000
3	G09-03-06-G02	Rubicon Trail Ground Operations - Phase 1	428,000	144,000	572,000
4	G09-03-06-R01	Rubicon Trail Route Variants Restoration	78,000	54,000	132,000
5	G09-03-06-S01	Rubicon Trail Educational Video & Public Outreach	73,000	26,000	99,000
6		TOTAL	1,442,000	528,000	1,970,000

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # _____

APP # 700583

3. Contact

a. Authorized Representative

Name	James Ware				
Title	Director				
Mailing Address	2850 Fairlane Court				
City	Placerville	State	CA	Zip	95667
Telephone	(530) 621-7533			Fax	(530) 626-0387
E-mail Address	jim.ware@co.el-dorado.ca.us				

b. Project Administrator

Name	Tom Celio				
Title	Operations Manager				
Mailing Address	2441 Headington Rd				
City	Placerville	State	CA	Zip	95667
Telephone	(530) 642-4905			Fax	(530) 642-9238
E-mail Address	tcelio@co.el-dorado.ca.us				

c. Project Administrator

Name	Steve Kooyman				
Title	Engineer				
Mailing Address	924 B Emerald Bay Road				
City	South Lake Tahoe	State	ca	Zip	96150
Telephone	(530) 573-7910			Fax	
E-mail Address	skooyman@co.el-dorado.ca.us				

Location Map

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700583

A. Location Map

Attachments:

[2010 Location Map](#)

Equipment Inventory

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700583

A. Equipment Inventory

Has your agency purchased any Equipment with OHV Trust Funds within the last five (5) ☐ Yes ☒ No
years? (Please select Yes or No)

#	Item Description	Make	Model	Model Year	Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) or Serial Number	Project Agreement Number

Habitat Management Program (HMP)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700583

PART 1 - ITEM 1. DETERMINE THE NEED FOR FULL FULL HABITAT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (HMP)

All Applicants submitting Projects involving Ground Disturbing Activities are subject to HMP requirements. The HMP must cover the combined Project Area of all proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities.

Applicants able to certify that none of the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit only HMP Part 1. Applicants who cannot certify that the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation do not contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit HMP Parts 1 and 2.

1. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No
2. Can the Applicant certify that none of the proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats? (If you checked 'Yes', you are done with HMP) (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No

PART 2 - RISK ANALYSIS, MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND REPORTING

PART 2 - Section I. Summary of HMP Changes

Has the Applicant previously submitted a HMP Part 2 that is currently in use in the proposed Project Area? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No

Table 1 - Summary of HMP Changes

Changes from Previous Year	Section Where Change Occurs

PART 2 - Section II - Special Status Species

Table 2 - Table of All Special-Status Species and Any Other Species of Local Concern That Were Considered for Inclusion in the HMP

Species	Listing Status	Habitat	Potential for Occurrence	Addressed by HMP? If not explain why?

PART 2 - Section III - Map(s) of Project Area

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 3

Table 3 - Data (Including Baseline Data) and Management Program for Species and/or Sensitive Habitats

Species/Habitat	Known Information	Methodology	Concerns / Risks / Uncertainties	Management Objective(s)	Management Action(s)	Success Criteria

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 4

Table 4: Summary of HMP Monitoring Program

Species/Habitat	Change Detection Methodology	Effectiveness Monitoring Methodology, Including Triggers	Identify Any Applicable Validation Monitoring (Focused Studies)

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 5

Table 5. Management Review and Response; Adaptive Management

Monitoring Methodology	How Monitoring Information Will Inform Management	How Data Will Be Analyzed	Management Response to Identified Triggers	Who Will Plan Management Response

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 6

Table 6: Previous Year's Monitoring Results

Monitoring Accomplishments	Results	Were Objectives and Success Criteria Achieved?

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 7

Table 7: Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

Management Actions	Species/ Habitat	Date Completed or Planned - mm/dd/yyyy	Changes Needed to HMP

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 8

Table 8 Management Actions Taken in Response to HMP-related Public Concerns

Concern Raised by Public	Actions Taken to Address the Concern

--	--

Soil Conservation

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700583

A. Soil Conservation

- a. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)

B. Soil Conservation Plan

Attachments:

[SCP 2010 Rubicon Trail Final 5-3-2010](#)

Public Review Process

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700583

A. Public Notification Efforts

Check all that apply: (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Notice to interested Parties/Groups (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [02-23-2010]
- ☒ Published on Applicant's Website (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [02-23-2010]
- ☐ Published in Newspaper
- ☐ News Release Issued
- ☐ Public Meeting(s) Hearing(s) Held

B. Public Comments

Public comments received from five individuals are summarized as follows:

General Comments:

- 1) All grant requests by EDCDOT have my support.
- 2) Commend the County for proposing these grants as meaningful chunks to be considered on their own merits.
- 3) Strongly support these grant requests and the spirit of cooperation and teamwork that EDC shows working with ENF, EDSO, RTF, and all other regular participants at the ROC meetings.
- 4) The key to sustainable OHV recreation on our public lands is for grant money to be spent on the ground.

G09-03-06-D01 Development - Rubicon Trail Restroom at Winter Camp:

- 5) I am in full support of the installation of the restroom facility. Please include additional information on the future servicing/maintenance of the restroom.
- 6) Installing a restroom at Winter Camp will strongly benefit trail users and protects the environment by providing a safe, sanitary means for coping with trail-side sanitation.
- 7) EDCDOT knows how to deliver this project, having previously worked with ENF in installing a similar facility at Loon Lake.
- 8) Section B: "In the past, there have been trail closures due to unmanaged human waste," and Section E (Location and Description of OHV Opportunities): "The area of Spider Lake was closed in 2004 due to sanitation issues." Suggest these statements be corrected to better reflect the facts that there is a "risk of potential contamination but no proof of actual contamination."
- 9) Eval Q5 (Recycled Materials) – suggest contacting vendor on whether vaults utilize fly-ash content concrete.
- 10) Further plans for additional restroom facilities must wait until appropriate planning has been completed along with required NEPA/CEQA.

G09-03-06-G01 Ground Operations (GO) - Rubicon Trail GO Phase 1:

- 11) I fully support EDCDOT's application for Rubicon Trail Ground Ops, Phase II! This is a big project, and a large grant request because it represents catch-up work needed to clear backlog of maintenance.
- 12) EDC has solid relationships with OHV coalitions and organizations. I hope the County continues to maintain and improve these relationships as it will help sustain the volunteer efforts and provide the OHMVR required grant match. This is truly a win-win-win proposition, since it binds state and local resources together to work on the trail resources that they all value.

13) Section 1.B: Recommend removing the statement: "Fore instance, as vehicles bypass "bad spots" or avoid broken or abandoned vehicles, the trail deviates more significantly from the original routes which are referred to as trail variants. Drivers looking for a more "fun" route cause additional damage, as do today's larger and modified vehicles, making their way over the much smaller original wagon road."

14) Cost Est/Staff/Other Per Diem: Please provide more info – size and purpose expense is unclear.

15) Eval Q8: I appreciate the CARB retrofits, but emphasizing that BMPs for signs and any barriers that require paint use low-VOC paints further strengthens the case for Sustainable Technologies.

16) Re-eval and re-score this application with changes so it competes fairly with other grant requests.

G09-03-06-G02 Ground Operations (GO) - Rubicon Trail GO Phase 2:

17) Same comments as in Phase 1 (see comment numbers: 9 – 14).

18) I fully support funding this grant! It makes good sense to address the trail east of Thousand Dollar Hill after learning all that we can on Phase I.

19) Cost Est: \$200K match pledged for the helicopter is incredible!

20) Eval Q1 – 25% Match minimum is checked, which scores No Points. Based on figures discussed elsewhere, actual match amount is more than 26%. Additional 3 points are worth the 1% change, whether by volunteer- or by donation-match.

21) Eval Q6: Fix sentence fragment at end of justification explanation.

G09-03-06-R01 Restoration - Rubicon Trail Route Variants Restoration:

22) Cost Est: California Conservation Corps (CCC) is a reliable resource perfectly trained for this type of work.

23) I cannot fully support this grant application because it contains restoration plans for routes in contention on a lawsuit between Public Lands for the People and the Eldorado National Forest, to which I am party. I could support this grant proposal better if 14N34B were wholly removed from it.

24) I will provide some support to this grant application since its emphasis is on blocking and monitoring to "discourage use" which leaves open the option that these routes could be re-opened later.

C. Application Development as a result of Public Comments

- a. Were changes made to the Application as a result of public comments? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)

- b. Describe how public comments affected the Application

The public comments and OHMVR Division comments resulted in the following modifications:

G09-03-06-D01 Dev: 8) Section B - modified to note "potential contamination"; 9) Eval Q5 - checked Yes; Eval Q3 - unchecked M.C.; Eval Q11 and Q12 - added details to narrative; Cost Est - Staff - modified Rates per DOT's FY 10/11 Budget; Equip Purchase - modified Restroom Rate per vendor quote updated 4/20/10.

G09-03-06-G01 GO Phase 1: 13) Section 1.B - statement removed; 14) Cost Est/Staff/Other-Per Diem - added note "Meals \$40/day/person"; Proj Desc - added "Contract with aerial consultant;" Cost Est/Equip Use Exp - added note to Equip Rental: Eval Q7 - unchecked "Paper";

G09-03-06-G02 GO Phase 2: 21) Eval Q6 - deleted sentence fragment; Proj Desc - added "Contract with SMUD for helicopter"; Cost Est/Equip Use Exp - added note to Equip Rental

G09-03-06-R01 Restoration: Proj Desc - Items A, C & G - added detail; Cost Est - modified rock rip rap cost; modified Contracts to meet match requirement.

Certifications

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700583

1. Applicant Certifications

A. General Conditions

- A. The Applicant hereby certifies, under the penalty of perjury, compliance with the following terms and conditions: ☒
1. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees to monitor the condition of soils and wildlife in the Project Area each year in order to determine whether the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to Public Resource Code (PRC), Section 5090.35 and the HMP prepared pursuant to Section 5090.53(a) are being met.
 2. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion, to repair and prevent accelerated erosion, until the same soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is met.
 3. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion until the same HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is met.
 4. The Applicant agrees to enforce the registration of off-highway motor vehicles and the other provisions of Division 16.5 (commencing with Section 38000) of the Vehicle Code and to enforce the other applicable laws regarding the operation of off-highway motor vehicles.
 5. The Applicant agrees to cooperate with appropriate law enforcement entities to provide proper law enforcement at and around the Facility.
 6. The Applicant's Project is in accordance with local or federal plans and the strategic plan for OHV Recreation prepared by the OHMVR Division.

B. Programmatic Conditions

B. The Applicant must describe the following programmatic conditions:

1. Identify the potential for the facility to reduce illegal and unauthorized OHV Recreation activities in the surrounding areas:

As part of the County's ongoing Rubicon Trail Operations & Maintenance program, additional informational signage and trail markers will be installed to direct users to the locations of the trail, trail improvements to reduce trail erosion and armoring the trail will protect the surrounding areas. Additionally, construction of a waterless restroom facility along the trail will significantly reduce health and sanitation problems on adjacent US Forest Service land.

2. Describe how the Applicant is meeting the operations and maintenance needs of any existing OHV Recreation Facility under its jurisdiction:

The Rubicon Trail is the only existing OHV Recreation Facility that is under the jurisdiction of El Dorado County. The County, through partnerships with trail user groups, US Forest Service and private property owners, has been maintaining the trail with activities such as erosion control, sediment reduction and water quality "best management practices" in the form of rock lined swale, water bar and rolling dip projects. The County is continuing these efforts and is in the process of developing a comprehensive Long Term Management Plan for the portion of the Rubicon Trail that is within El Dorado County.

C. Fee Collection

Describe how fees collected pursuant to Section 38230 of the Vehicle Code (in-lieu funds) are utilized and whether the fees complement the Applicant's proposed Project:

The OHV in-lieu funds collected by the County are used to provide matching funds for OHMVR grants and to directly fund ongoing maintenance projects and operational resources.

D. Compliance with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C)

Projects within the O&M category that affect lands identified as inventoried roadless areas by the U.S. Forest Service, are compliant with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C). (Please select Yes or No)

☒ Yes ☐ No

2. Governing Body Resolution

Attachments:

[El Dorado County Board Reso Adopted 10-07-2008](#)

Certification - Non Profits / Education

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700583

- 1. Written Agreement with Land Manager**
- 2. Verification of Nonprofit 501(c)(3) Status**

Evaluation Criteria

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700583

1. OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

- a. Does the land manager agency provide legal OHV riding opportunity? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)

Starting (Month/Year) 01/2009

Ending (Month/Year) 12/2009

- b. Off-Highway Vehicle Opportunity Ratio (OHV Ratio) opportunity
- i. Months of OHV Opportunity (OHV Months) 12
- ii. Total Miles Of Routes Available For OHV Recreation 12
- iii. Total Acres Of Open Riding Available For OHV Recreation 0
- iv. OHV Visitation (visitor days) 9200
- v. Ratio of OHV Visitation/OHV Opportunity 766.67

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary (2)

- c. Reference Document that support the responses to a. and b. on previous page
- Rubicon Trail 2009 Visitor Counts Summary (Excel spreadsheet) , based on actual user counts conducted by the Rubicon Trail Foundation on the weekends beginning May 23, 2009 (Memorial Day Weekend) and ending September 7, 2009 (Labor Day Weekend). Additional user count data was provided by Dan DeWolf by phone on 4/13/2010 for the Jeepers Jamboree weekend (July 23 - 26, 2009) and by Pierce Umlauf for the Jeep Jamboree USA weekend (August 14 - 16, 2009) and other Jeep Jamboree USA private trips. Visitor estimates for weekdays between May 27 - Sept 3, 2009 were derived using the following assumptions: 4 vehicles per day, 1.5 passengers per vehicle.
- d. Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) = OHV Ratio x OHV Months / 12 766.67
- Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score

2. Quality of OHV Opportunity

Land Manager's OHV program 12

Check all that apply (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available for distribution at no cost (2 points)
- ☒ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available on the Land Manager's website (2 points)
- ☒ Map indicates relative difficulty of each OHV trail (2 points)
- ☒ Map indicates appropriate OHV use type (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, etc.) (2 points)
- ☒ At least fifty percent of the staging areas include support facilities (restrooms, picnic tables, trash cans, shade structures) (2 points)
- ☒ Majority of trail intersections are signed with information such as: trail names, directional signs, relative difficulty, mileage to next feature (2 points)

3. Variety of OHV Opportunity

- a. Skill levels (e.g., beginner, intermediate, advanced) indicated by publicly available maps or signage marking trails with relative difficulty 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ 3 or more skill levels (5 points) ☐ 2 skill levels (3 points)
☐ 1 skill level (1 point) ☐ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

b. Type of OHV Opportunity (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, RUV, Sand Rail/Dune Buggy) 6

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ Opportunities for 3 or more vehicle types (6 points) ☐ Opportunities for 2 vehicle types (3 points)
☐ Opportunity for only 1 vehicle type (1 point) ☐ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

4. Agency Contribution

Is the cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year (not to include Indirect Costs) greater than \$0?. If NO, then No points. Go to item #5. (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If YES, enter cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year (not to include Indirect Costs): 209000

% Funded by OHV Trust Fund (do not include in-lieu funds): 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No OHV Trust Funds were used (6 points)
☐ 10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (4 points)
☒ 11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)
☐ 26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)
☐ More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (No points)

Reference Document

County DOT FY 2008-09 Approved Budget

5. Project Performance

For Applicant's OHV grant Projects which reached the end of the Project performance period within the last two years, the percentage of all deliverables accomplished 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ 100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)
☒ 75% to 99% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)
☐ Less than 75% of Deliverables accomplished (No points)
☐ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)

6. Previous Year Performance

In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit. 3

FOR DIVISION USE ONLY (Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit (3 points)
☐ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)
☐ In the previous year the Applicant has not been responsive (No points)

7. Prevention of OHV trespass

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Fence (Page 1)

- a. Is site a completely fenced facility such that OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas is prevented? 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ No (answer items b and c) ☐ Yes (10 points, explain and then skip to item 8)

Explain 'Yes' response:

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Patrol (Page 2)

- b. The majority of OHV Opportunity areas are patrolled (Check the one most appropriate) 1

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ At least 5 days per week (5 points)
☐ At least once per week (3 points)
☒ At least once per month (1 point)
☐ Less than once per month (No points)

Explain patrol efforts (e.g., frequency of patrol, patrol personnel, percent of lands covered by patrols)

The majority of the OHV Opportunity areas on the Rubicon Trail (Trail) are patrolled by County Sheriff Deputies from approximately 8 AM to 8 PM on weekends (Fri, Sat, Sun) from Memorial Day Weekend through Labor Day Weekend. For the 2010 season, this will be 15 weekends for a total of 45 days that the OHV Trail will be patrolled.

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Measures (Page 3)

- c. Measures to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas 5

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Barriers and/or signing are used to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas (3 points)
☒ Education programs, maps and/or brochures provided to the public address OHV trespass, including respect for private property (2 points)

Explain measures utilized to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas

Limited signage and trail markers are used to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas. Printed brochures include a Regulations section that addresses OHV trespass/respect for personal property.

8. OHV Education

8 OHV Education - Page 1

- a. Education materials available onsite 10

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Free literature is provided to visitors describing safe and responsible OHV recreational practices (5 points)
☒ Bulletin boards, signs or kiosks, at the majority of staging areas, trailheads, or other areas where the public gathers provide information concerning safe and responsible OHV Recreation (5 points)

- b. Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices: 1

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ 50 or more per year (3 points) ☐ 20 to 49 times per year (2 points)
☒ 5 to 19 times per year (1 point) ☐ Less than 5 times per year (No points)

8. OHV Education - Page 2

- c. When Facility is open, staff are available at trailheads, visitor centers and/or entrance stations to provide information on safe and responsible OHV use 2

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ Daily (5 points) ☐ On all weekends (4 points)
☒ On the majority of weekends (2 points) ☐ On major holidays (1 points)
☐ None of the above (No points)

- d. ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are provided to the public: 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ At least 30 times per year (5 points) ☐ 18-29 times per year (3 points)
☐ 4-17 times per year (1 points) ☒ Less than 4 times per year (No points)

Describe Land Manager's onsite education efforts relative to items a. - d.:

During the OHV season (Memorial Day Weekend through Labor Day Weekend), on every weekend, volunteers are available at various locations along the Trail to provide information (e.g, free brochures/trail maps, disposable toilet kits, etc.) and answer questions on safe and responsible OHV use of the Trail. Staffing for the 2010 season has already been scheduled. County staff also make more than 5 field trips per year that involve walking the Trail, talking to users and educating them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices.

9. Website

- a. OHV outreach efforts are accomplished through the Land Manager's website 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No (skip to question 10) ☒ Yes (provide URL address and answer item b)

Provide URL address <http://www.co.el-dorado.ca.us/Rubicon/index.html>

- b. The Land Manager's website contains the following items 5

(Check all that apply) - Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 5 points. (Please select applicable values)

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Map to location | <input type="checkbox"/> Hours of operation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Safety information |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Visitor facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contact information | <input type="checkbox"/> News releases |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information on responsible riding | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Map of Facilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Fee schedule |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Seasonal restrictions | <input type="checkbox"/> Link to Division Website | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Law enforcement contact information |

10. OHV Outreach

Check all forms of OHV outreach the Applicant utilizes: 3

Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 3 points. (Please select applicable values)

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Billboards | <input type="checkbox"/> CDs and/or DVDs |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community meetings | <input type="checkbox"/> OHV dealers |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fairs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> News releases |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) [Rubicon Oversight Committee (ROC)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Television |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Parades | <input type="checkbox"/> Radio |

☐ Programs at schools

11. Natural and Cultural Resources

11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 1

- a. Is the Land Manager's OHV area a completely fenced track facility with little or no native vegetation?
0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☒ No (answer item b)

☐ Yes (5 points, explain and then skip to item 12)

Explain 'Yes' response

11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 2

- b. Resource Management Information System 0

Does the Land Manager maintain a management information system managed by qualified environmental staff that identifies and monitors the impacts of the OHV activity and contains at least the following:

- Ongoing survey/inventory of species
- Ongoing survey/inventory of archeological sites
- Biological monitoring that measures changes in populations
- Components that evaluate the effects of OHV recreation and related activity on the species;
- Recommendations for improvement in species management
- Strategies to respond to changing conditions that affect the survival or reproduction of species? (Please select one from list)

☒ No (No points)

☐ Yes (5 points)

Reference Document

12. Soil Management

12. Soil Management - Page 1

- a. Land Manager has developed a systematic methodology for evaluating soil conditions of its OHV Opportunities? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response DOT currently using the CGS 2009 Report to determine the various soil conditions on the trail during saturated conditions.

- b. Land Manager has developed methods to address soil issues? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response DOT is utilizing the 2009 CGS Report and updated BMP Toolbox to address soil issues.

12. Soil Management - Page 2

- c. Land Manager performs soil monitoring 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ Monthly (3 points) ☐ After major rain events (2 points)
☒ Annually (No points)

13. Sound Level Testing

The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing 0

(Check only one if applicable) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ On most (50% or more) holidays and weekends (4 points)
☐ At least 25% but less than 50% of holidays and weekends (2 points)
☒ Less than 25% of holidays and weekends (No points)

Describe the sound testing program

DOT is currently not required to complete this effort.